TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

February 26, 2003 LB 111

numbers. When you got 7.5 percent of your inmate population of 4,000, that's a lot of inmates we have locked up just in corrections. And then they go from there to the regional center and the regional center is busting at its seams, and we're going to have to do something out there to make more room for them. Because the ones that I can tell you are sitting out at Hastings Regional Center waiting to get into treatment, they'll say they are treating them, but it's nothing but a holding facility, strictly warehousing, hoping we can do something with them later. And I'm just saying it'd be better that we do something with them so we can get them moving for those who can be moved, than it is to start warehousing and warehousing, because we all know how much that costs. Thank you. LB 111 is important and we need to vote for it.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Pedersen. Senator Chambers.

Mr. President, members of the Legislature, SENATOR CHAMBERS: now I must fall back into my formalistic role where consistency is very important. Senator Beutler has done us all a service by looking at other statutes that relate to this issue. agree with him that those other categories of persons who manufacture and those who distribute are of a much greater threat to society than the one who merely possesses it because these other categories are creating the evil and distributing It's one thing for a mosquito to have the malaria virus it. within it's own body, it's another thing for a person to raise mosquitos, feed them on the virus, then release them on the society at large, to give a very poor analogy. I believe that since we use the word "child" as a participant in this pornography, in those statutes dealing with the badder actors, the word "child" ought to be in this provision also. Beutler raised an issue that I constantly grapple with. long are we going to put a millstone around the neck of a very young person who does something which if an adult did it we should view it differently? There are kids who have what they call dirty pictures, which some of us might call pornography. There is going to have to be some wisdom in reviewing these cases when they come before a prosecutor when they are presented to a judge. But while we are fashioning statutes, we have to make distinctions where it is appropriate to do so. If fine